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Purpose:

The Community and Services Committee is responsible for:

1. Guiding and monitoring the provision of strategic community infrastructure and services to meet the current and future needs of the city and its communities.
2. Governance of recreational, event, and community facilities and amenities.
3. Facilitating community and stakeholder involvement and discussion on community infrastructure, community safety and community wellbeing matters.
4. Ensuring Hamilton is performing to the highest standard in the area of civil defence and emergency management.
5. Funding to benefit the social, cultural, arts and environmental wellbeing of communities in Hamilton.

In addition to the common delegations the Community and Services Committee is delegated the following Terms of Reference and powers:

Terms of Reference:

1. To provide direction on strategic priorities and resourcing for community infrastructure aligned to city development and oversight of strategic projects associated with those activities.
2. To develop policy, approve community-related strategies and plans, and monitor their implementation.
3. To receive and consider presentations and reports from stakeholders, government departments, organisations and interest groups on community development and wellbeing issues and opportunities.
4. To monitor Hamilton’s social demographics and social climate to assess current and future impacts on the Council and Hamilton communities.
5. To monitor the performance of Hamilton’s civil defence and emergency management response against Council’s requirements under the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act including:
   a. implementation of Government requirements;
   b. contractual service delivery arrangements with the Waikato Regional Group Emergency Management Office
6. To determine the funding priorities for the Community Grants Allocation Committees, in line with the Community Assistance Policy, Long Term Plan and Annual Plan.
7. To determine the priority of Council projects suitable for contestable and philanthropic funding, excluding NZTA funding.
8. To make decisions on event sponsorship applications in accordance with the Event Sponsorship Policy and within the approved budget, and monitor any grants made to external organisations under that policy.
9. To coordinate and make decisions on proposals, applications for external funding, and the distribution of Council funding and funding provided to Council for public art, recreational and community facilities and amenity.
10. To monitor and oversee the delivery of Council’s non-financial performance and non-financial key projects, against the Long Term Plan.
The Committee is delegated the following powers to act:

- Approval of Reserve Management Plans.
- Performing the Council’s functions, powers and duties (excluding those matters reserved to the Council by law, by resolution of the Council or as otherwise delegated by Council) under the Burial and Cremation Act and the Reserves Act.
- Approval of purchase or disposal of land for parks and reserves required for designated works or other purposes within the Committee’s area of responsibility which exceeds the Chief Executive’s delegations and is in accordance with the Annual Plan or Long Term Plan.
- Approval of applications for Council projects suitable for contestable and philanthropic funding.
- Approval or otherwise of event sponsorship applications in compliance with the Event Sponsorship Policy and approved budget.
- Approval of funding for recreational and community facilities and amenities in accordance with the Long Term Plan.
- Approval of public art proposals put forward by the community and/or Council in accordance with the Public Art Development Process and approved budget.

The Committee is delegated the following recommendatory powers:

- The Committee may make recommendations to Council.
- The Committee may make recommendations to other Committees.

Oversight of Policies:

- Citizens Initiated Referenda Policy
- City Honours Policy
- Class 4 Gambling Venue Policy
- Community Assistance Policy
- Community Occupancy Policy
- Disability Policy
- Dog Control Policy
- Event Sponsorship Policy
- External Funding Applications Policy
- Hamilton City Smoke-Free Environment Policy
- International Relations Policy
- Naming of Roads, Open Spaces and Council Facilities Policy
- Provisional Local Alcohol Policy
- Psychoactive Substances (Local Approved Products) Policy
- Public Places Policy (cross-over with Finance Committee)
- TAB Board Venue Policy
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1 Apologies

2 Confirmation of Agenda
The Committee to confirm the agenda.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Public Forum
As per Hamilton City Council’s Standing Orders, a period of up to 30 minutes has been set aside for a public forum. Each speaker during the public forum section of this meeting may speak for three minutes or longer at the discretion of the Chair.

Please note that the public forum is to be confined to those items falling within the terms of the reference of this meeting.

Speakers will be put on a Public Forum speaking list on a first come first served basis in the Council Chamber prior to the start of the Meeting. A member of the Council Democracy Team will be available to co-ordinate this. As many speakers as possible will be heard within the allocated time.

If you have any questions regarding Public Forum please contact Democracy by telephoning 07 838 6439.
**Committee:** Community and Services Committee  
**Date:** 27 June 2017  
**Report Name:** Community and Services Committee Meetings Minutes - 16 May 2017  
**Author:** Amy Viggers

| Status | Open |

**Recommendation**  
That the committee confirm the Open Minutes of the Community and Services Committee Meeting held on 16 May 2017 as a true and correct record.

1. **Attachments**

2. Attachment 1 - Community and Services Committee Meeting Minutes - 16 May 2017
Minutes of a meeting of the Community and Services Committee held in Council Chamber, Municipal Building, Garden Place, Hamilton on Tuesday 16 May 2017 at 9.30am.

PRESENT

Chairperson            Cr P Southgate
Deputy Chairperson     Cr P Yeung
Members
              Mayor A King
              Deputy Mayor M Gallagher
              Cr M Bunting
              Cr J R Casson
              Cr S Henry
              Cr D Macpherson
              Cr G Mallett
              Cr A O’Leary
              Cr G Taylor
              Cr L Tooman

In Attendance:

Richard Briggs - Chief Executive
Lance Vervoort - General Manager Community
Sean Hickey – General Manager Strategy and Communication
Blair Bowcott – Executive Director Special Projects
Sean Murray – General Manager Major Events, Venues and Tourism
Debbie Lascelles – Unit Manager Community Development and Leisure
Nick Chester – Social Development Advisor
Jeff Neems - Communications Advisor
Julie Clausen – Programme Manager
Jolie Humphreys – Strategic Policy Analyst
Sally Sheedy - Parks & Open Spaces Manager
Gina Hailwood – Special Projects Manager
Andy Mannering - Manager Social Development
Renee McMillian – Team Leader Planning & Assets
Riki Manarangi - Corporate Policy Specialist
Peggy Koopman-Boyden – Chair of Age Friendly Steering Group
Lee Hazlewood - Waikato Group Emergency Management Office Manager
1. Apologies
   Resolved (Crs Gallagher/Yeung)
   That the apology from Councillor Pascoe is received and accepted.

2. Confirmation of Agenda
   Resolved (Crs Southgate/Yeung)
   That the agenda be confirmed noting:
   - Item 8 (Community and Services Committee Draft 2017 Schedule of Reports) attachment was circulated under separate cover.
   - Item 15 (Older Persons Plan and Age Friendly Hamilton Update) is to be taken after Item 5 (confirmation of minutes) to accommodate an external presenter.

3. Declarations of Interest
   No members of the Council declared a Conflict of Interest.

4. Public Forum
   There were no public forum speakers.

5. Community and Services Committee Minutes - 4 April 2017
   Resolved: (Crs Casson/Macpherson)
   That the Committee confirm the Open Minutes of the Community and Services Committee Meeting held on 4 April 2017 as a true and correct record, noting the correction of the spelling of Councillor Mallett’s name on page 8 of the agenda.

   Item 15 (Older Persons Plan and Age Friendly Hamilton Update) was taken next to accommodate external presenters in attendance.

15. Older Persons Plan and Age Friendly Hamilton Update
   The Social Development Advisor introduced Emeritus Professor Peggy Koopman-Boyden, Chair of the Age Friendly Steering Group. E.P. Koopman-Boyden congratulated Council on actively moving towards becoming an Age Friendly City and noted that most of the actions in the Older Persons Plan had been completed.

   She advised that an Age Friendly Hamilton Plan was currently being developed by the steering group and was on track to be completed by the end of this calendar year. The plan would look to improve many aspects of life for older people in Hamilton through the collective action of community agencies and local and government agencies.

   Staff responded to questions from Elected Members concerning the status and progress of the actions in the Older Persons Plan and provided feedback and suggestions regarding the Age Friendly Hamilton Plan.
Resolved: (Crs Southgate/Casson)
That the Community and Services Committee:
   a) receives the report;
   b) retires the Hamilton Older Persons Plan; and
   c) continues to support the Age Friendly Steering Group in the development of an Age Friendly Plan for Hamilton.

6. Civil Defence Emergency Management (CDEM) Update

The Executive Director Special Projects introduced Lee Hazlewood, Group Controller from Waikato Civil Defence. Mr Hazlewood highlighted some of the hazards Hamilton needed to be aware of in terms of Civil Defence Emergencies and outlined the supporting role that Hamilton would likely play in assisting other areas more susceptible to disasters.

The Civil Defence team were introduced and they each provided an explanation on what their roles within the team involved. Staff and Mr Hazlewood responded to questions from Elected Members concerning various logistics in the event of an emergency.

It was noted that one of the groups priorities was to increase community engagement and education in the area of Civil Defence and Emergency Response so that communities would be better prepared for events.

Resolved: (Crs Henry/Bunting)
That the Community and Services Committee receives the report.

The meeting adjourned (11:19 – 11:40)

7. General Manager’s Report – Recommendation to Council

The General Manager Community took the report as read and responded to questions from Elected Members concerning the following:

Rototuna Indoor Recreational Centre Trust – It was explained that former Councillor, Margaret Forsyth had resigned from the Trust Board on 4 April 2017 and that a replacement trust member was needed. Cr Paula Southgate had been put forward by staff as a replacement, not only because of her role as Chair of the Community and Services Committee, but also because of her passion and the expertise she would bring to the role.

Elected Members asked that Council’s thanks for Margaret Forsyth’s work as a member of the trust be formally noted.

Rototuna New Playground – Staff advised that there was currently allocation as part of the 2015-25 10 Year Plan for two playgrounds to be developed in Rototuna. Staff were also actively pursuing External Funding opportunities for playground development in the area.

Resolved: (Crs Gallagher/Henry)
That the Community and Services Committee:
   a) receives the report; and
   b) recommends to Council that Cr Paula Southgate be appointed to the Rototuna Indoor Recreation Centre Trust Board.
Motion: (Crs O’Leary/Casson)
That the Community and Services Committee:
   a) supports the apportioning of budget allocated in Year 3 of the 2015-25 Long Term Plan between two playgrounds (Hare Puke Park and Te Huia Reserve) in principle; and
   b) that the design and funding plans for playgrounds at Hare Puke Park and Te Huia Reserve be reported to the 19 September 2017 Community and Services Committee Meeting.

Amendment: (Mayor King/ Cr Mallett)
That the budget for the Hare Puke and Te Huia reserve be considered during the Annual Plan Deliberations.

The Amendment was put.

Those for the Amendment: Mayor King and Cr Mallett.

Those Against the Amendment: Crs O’Leary, Tooman, Macpherson, Casson, Bunting, Henry, Taylor, Southgate, Yeung, and Gallagher.

The Amendment was declared lost.

The Motion was then put.

Those for the Motion: Crs O’Leary, Tooman, Macpherson, Casson, Bunting, Henry, Taylor, Southgate, Yeung, and Gallagher.

Those against the Motion: Mayor King and Cr Mallett.

The Motion was declared carried.

Resolved: (Crs O’Leary/Casson)
That the Community and Services Committee:
   a) supports the apportioning of budget allocated in Year 3 of the 2015-25 Long Term Plan between two playgrounds (Hare Puke Park and Te Huia Reserve) in principle; and
   b) that the design and funding plans for playgrounds at Hare Puke Park and Te Huia Reserve be reported to the 19 September 2017 Community and Services Committee Meeting.

The meeting adjourned (1:19pm – 2:00pm)

Councillor Yeung retired from the meeting during the above adjournment.

8. Community and Services Committee Draft 2017 Schedule of Reports

The General Manager Community introduced the report and noted that the schedule was designed to be a working document that would be updated as needed and would be expanded to include the reporting schedule across the triennium. He responded to questions from Elected Members concerning timing of various reports.

The Chief Executive noted that staff would carry out an audit of resolutions to check that reports
come back to Council/Committees by due date in the resolution.

Resolved: (Crs Southgate/ Henry)
That the Community and Services Committee:
   a) receives the draft 2017 Schedule of Reports; and
   b) notes that the Schedule of Reports is intended to be a living document to be updated as necessary with changes notified to Committee members.

9. 2015-25 10-Year Plan Service Performance Exceptions Report - Year 2, Quarter 3

The Strategic Policy Analyst emphasised that the report was an exceptions report showing only the KPIs that were ‘Off Track’, ‘Needed Corrective Action’ or had ‘No Data’.

Staff provided explanations concerning a number of KPIs that were not on track, and advised that Elected Members would have an opportunity as part of the 10 Year Plan discussions to review and change KPIs as needed.

Resolved: (Crs Taylor/Bunting)
That Community and Services Committee receives the report.

10. River Plan

The Special Projects Manager introduced the report and advised that staff were seeking clear direction from Elected Members concerning the River Plan and Vegetation Management plan. He noted that the recommended River Plan Taskforce would assist staff in terms of providing direction ahead of the Annual Plan and 10 Year Plan discussions.

It was clarified that the Taskforce could not make decisions concerning the River Plan but would be able to make recommendations to Council to assist in decision making.

Resolved: (Crs Southgate/Macpherson)
That the Community and Service Committee:
   a) receives the report;
   b) approves the Vegetation Management Plan;
   c) approves the establishment of a River Plan Task Force comprising the Mayor, Deputy Mayor, Councillors Taylor, Southgate, O’Leary, GM Community and the Special Projects Manager, finishing on 31 December 2017 with the purpose to:
      i. recommend the 2017/18 Annual Plan work programme to the 27 June 2017 Community and Services Committee subject to the budget being confirmed in the 2017/18 Annual Plan; and
      ii. undertake engagement with the Donny Trust and other external funders on river plan projects.

Cr Mallett Dissenting.

The meeting adjourned (3.25pm – 3.40pm) during the discussion on the above Item.

Cr Mallett retired from the meeting (4.08pm) at the conclusion of the above item. He was present when the matter was voted on.
11. **Options for Reporting on Sustainability Actions**

The Programme Manager Strategy spoke to the report explaining the work that had been carried out concerning the options on reporting sustainability actions. Staff responded to questions from the Elected Members on the five reporting options.

**Resolved:**  
(Crs Bunting/Macpherson)  
That the Community and Services Committee;  

a) receives the report; and  
b) refers the matter to a future Elected Member Briefing Session to consider the issues raised by this report.

12. **Hamilton Central City Safety Plan review**

The Community Development and Leisure Manager explained that in response to points raised at a previous Elected Member Briefing, staff recommended that a Taskforce be established to review current safety issues within the City, and to draft a new plan.

Staff responded to questions concerning the Taskforce membership and the scope of the taskforce, which was to focus on addressing antisocial behaviour rather that alcohol related issues.

**Resolved:**  
(Crs O'Leary/Bunting)  
That the Community and Services Committee:  

a) receives the report;  
b) approves the formation of the proposed Hamilton Central City Safety Plan Taskforce, the draft Terms of Reference and proposed membership;  
c) appoints Councillor O'Leary as Chair of the Taskforce and the Mayor and Councillors Casson, Bunting and Taylor as the Elected Member representatives to the Taskforce; and  
d) approves the Taskforce to appoint three external members (one person from the Peoples Project, one person from another social agency and one from the Police).

_Councillor Macpherson left the meeting (5.00pm) during the above item. He was not present when the matter was voted on._

_Crs Bunting and O'Leary retired from the meeting (5.05pm) at the conclusion of the above Item. They were present when the matter was voted on._

_Following the above item, it was resolved to allow the meeting to extend beyond 6 hours._

**Resolved:**  
(Crs O'Leary/Henry)  
That the meeting be allowed to extend beyond 6 hours as per standing order 3.2.7
13. Community Occupancy - Enderley Park Community Centre

The report was taken as read.

Resolved: (Crs Tooman/Casson)

That the Community and Services Committee:

a) receives the report; and

b) approves the variation to extend the leased area of Raukura Hauora o Tainui in Enderley Park Community Centre on Enderley Park up to 315m², being part of Lot 2 DPS 7131, as shown in Attachment 1, subject to all terms and conditions being per their current lease. The new rental rate to account for the increase in leased area to be as follows:

   i) Term – until 30 June 2018
   ii) Rent – $1,968.75 plus GST per annum (plus maintenance and utility charges) in accordance with the Community Occupancy Policy
   iii) All other terms and conditions in accordance with the Community Occupancy Policy and Community Occupancy Guidelines.

Councillor Macpherson returned to the meeting (5.05pm) at the beginning of the above item. He was present when the matter was voted on.

14. Community Sports Facilities Fees and Charges

The General Manager Community explained that community sports facilities fees and charges were complex in scope and that achieving equity between the various sporting codes would require further review and work and that it could eventually result in significant changes to the current fees and charges schedule.

Resolved: (Crs Casson/Taylor)

That the Community and Services Committee receive the report.

The meeting was declared closed at 5.37pm.
Recommendation
That the Community and Services Committee receives the report.

1. Attachments
2. Attachment 1 - Chair's Report - 27 June 2017
Chair’s Report

Community engagement is a core part of the work of this committee. I have been discussing opportunities and am supportive of exploring how we can improve our meaningful interface with the community.

I have been talking with some of our community groups and stakeholders to plan some strategic meetings outside of Council. Two opportunities that I hope to progress initially are:

1. Holding a briefing/stakeholder meeting at Zeal.
2. Tauranga City Council Chair of Community and Services has invited us to visit and share learnings/conversation and we could reciprocate.

I have also invited Dame Susan Devoy to talk about human rights, race relations and the ways local government can have a positive impact through its business.

I am also working with the GM Community to identify integrating planning outcomes across some of our key facility and services, to assist LTP discussions. For example how we integrate elements of the Museum Strategic Plan and River Plan. We need to consider how we wisely create win-wins in a tight financial horizon.

We had a field trip to Waterworld as new councillors late last year. The issues we observed around the age and condition of the facility remain a concern and a briefing and report back on required upgrade work is included in the Council briefing schedule (6 July 2017) and to a council meeting over the next few months.

It is my view that there are a number of issues around progressing the renewal of some of our aging facilities which must be fully understood prior to LTP decisions.

Paula Southgate
07 974 0508
021 589 957
Paula.southgate@council.hcc.govt.nz
1. **Purpose of the Report**

2. To inform the Committee of the completion of the Social Wellbeing report and extend an invitation to its presentation on 11 July 2017.

3. To update the Committee on the Rototuna Indoor Recreation Centre.

**Recommendation from Management**

That the Community and Services Committee receives the report.

4. **Attachments**

5. There are no attachments for this report.

6. **Social Wellbeing Indicator Report**

The Social Wellbeing Indicator Report has been completed by the Community Development Unit. The development of the report (and content) was approved by council at the 3 May 2016 Strategy and Policy Committee meeting. Information for the report has been gathered from a number of sources, and is intended to be reviewed on an annual basis to ensure information remains fresh and relevant. The report will provide guidance on areas of focus for work and collaboration within the Community sector.

The Community Development team will be presenting the report at open public meetings on 11 July at the Western Community Centre (12:30pm) and Waikato Settlement Centre (5:30pm); Elected Members are welcome to attend.

A hard copy of the report will be circulated at the Committee meeting.
7. **Rototuna Indoor Recreation Centre**

The construction of the Rototuna Indoor Recreation Centre is nearing completion with a predicted handover of the facility to the Trust that will operate the Centre being mid to late July.

Planning for an official opening is underway, with this likely to be during the last week of July. The Rototuna Indoor Recreation Trust is finalising the Operating Agreement with the Rototuna High School which includes:

- Terms, conditions, fees and charges for use of the facility
- Principles of use for national/regional school sporting code tournaments
- Routine and capital maintenance programmes.

Photos of the new facility will be shown at the Committee meeting and a further verbal update of progress given by staff.

**Signatory**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authoriser</th>
<th>Lance Vervoort, General Manager Community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
1. **Purpose of the Report**

   2. To seek approval for two public art projects in accordance with the Council’s Public Art Development Process.

3. **Executive Summary**

   **Dame Hilda Ross sculpture**

   4. In September 2013, the Council gave site approval to TOTI Charitable Trust for a Dame Hilda Ross sculpture on the corner of Ward Street and Worley Place.

   5. TOTI have selected *When Health Joins Hands with Happiness* by Tim Elliot as their preferred concept for the sculpture. The artwork will be a bronze cast based on a 3D printed mould.

   6. Approval at Stage Two of the Council’s Public Art Development Process will allow TOTI to contract the artist and to develop a detailed design of the artwork.

   **Chedworth Properties proposal**

   7. Chedworth Properties has expressed interest in purchasing a small sculpture to be installed in an open space within their Greenhill Park subdivision.

   8. The artwork is a basalt rock sculpture by Raglan-based artist Richard Page.

   9. Approval at Stage One and Two of the Council’s Public Art Development Process will allow Chedworth Properties to purchase the artwork and prepare it for installation at an appropriate point in the subdivision development.
Recommendations from Management

That the Community and Services Committee:

a) receives the report;

b) approves TOTI’s Dame Hilda Ross artwork concept at Stage 2 of the Public Art Development Process; and

c) approves Chedworth Properties’ artwork proposal at Stage 1 and 2 of the Public Art Development Process.

10. Attachments

11. Attachment 1 - Dame Hilda Ross sculpture – concept images
12. Attachment 2 - Dame Hilda Ross sculpture - TOTI statement
13. Attachment 3 - Greenhill Park public artwork -location
14. Attachment 4 - Greenhill Park public artwork -proposal
15. Attachment 5 - Public Art Development Process for Permanent Artworks 2017

16. Dame Hilda Ross sculpture

17. Background

18. At the 5 September 2013 Operations and Activity Performance Committee (Agenda Pg. 5 / Minutes Pg. 6), the Committee gave site approval to TOTI for a Dame Hilda Ross sculpture on the corner of Ward Street and Worley Place.

19. Since site approval was granted in 2013, TOTI have focused on other projects including fundraising and fabrication of the Sapper Horace Moore-Jones sculpture and providing support to the Waikato Combined Equestrian Group to commission a bronze war-horse artwork in Memorial Park, currently scheduled for installation in November 2017.

20. At the 4 April 2017 Community and Services Committee (Agenda Pg. 98, Minutes Pg. 5), the Committee received a report on TOTI’s road renaming proposal. The Committee resolved that the Council’s preference is the renaming of the east section of Ward Street (between Victoria Street and Worley Place) as Dame Hilda Ross Way, and that the proposed location of the Dame Hilda Ross commemorative artwork is named Dame Hilda Ross Plaza.

21. TOTI have subsequently lodged a Road and Open Space name/renaming application and the notification process is currently underway. Staff will report back to the Community and Services Committee when the notification process is completed.

22. Concept

23. TOTI have selected When Health Joins Hands with Happiness by Tim Elliot as their preferred concept for a Dame Hilda Ross artwork (Attachment 1). The concept depicts Dame Hilda Ross playing the piano for children at the Port Waikato Children’s Health Camp.

24. The artwork will be a bronze cast based on a 3D printed mould rather than a traditional clay mould. Details about the fabrication process are included Attachment 2.
25. **Strategic Alignment**

26. The Dame Hilda Ross project responds to the following outcomes and priorities of the Public Art Plan and Development Guide:
   - Our artworks challenge, surprise, entertain and inspire
   - Our artworks are relevant to Hamilton’s history and tell our stories
   - Our artworks engage diverse communities and stimulate interaction.

27. Artworks that activate and enhance central city public spaces are listed as a priority of the Public Art Plan and Development Guide.

28. **Public Art Development Process**

29. Approval at Stage Two of the Council’s Public Art Development Process will allow TOTI to contract the artist and to develop a detailed design of the artwork. No health and safety risks have been identified with the initial concept.

30. The detailed design and landscaping will need to be approved by the GM Community at Stage Three of the Public Art Development Process before fabrication and installation can commence.

31. **Chedworth Properties proposal**

32. **Background**

33. Chedworth Properties is the developer of Greenhill Park, a 136 hectare residential subdivision (see Attachment 3 for location). The subdivision is zoned within the Ruakura Structure Plan and is located north of Fairview Downs.

34. The developer has expressed interest in purchasing a small sculpture to be installed in an open space within the subdivision development.

35. The proposed artwork is *Twist* by Raglan-based artist Richard Page (Attachment 4). Richard primarily uses locally sourced basalt rock to create his carved sculptures.

36. **Strategic Alignment**

37. The proposal from Chedworth Properties responds to the following outcomes and priorities of the Public Art Plan and Development Guide:
   - Our artworks challenge, surprise, entertain and inspire
   - Our artworks are at the core of urban transformation

38. **Public Art Development Process**

39. Approval at Stage One and Two of the Council’s Public Art Development Process will allow Chedworth Properties to purchase the artwork and prepare it for installation at an appropriate point in the subdivision development.

40. The exact location within the open space will need to be approved by the GM Community at Stage Three of the Public Art Development Process before installation can commence.
41. Financial and Resourcing Implications

42. Ongoing care and maintenance has been assessed for both projects and instructions will be added to the public art maintenance schedule. As per previous public art reports, the public art maintenance budget is scheduled to be reviewed through the 2018-28 10 Year Plan.

43. Maintenance requirements for the Dame Hilda Ross sculpture are not considered significant and will be comparable to other bronze sculptures maintained by the Council, including Captain Hamilton, The Line of Fire (Sapper Moore-Jones) and the Riff Raff statue which receive a scheduled hot wax treatment every 5 years.

44. Maintenance requirements for the Chedworth Properties proposal are also not considered significant and will be comparable to the artwork Koru Family, located in Ferrybank near Waikato Museum. The only required maintenance for Koru Family is a clean every 2 years.

**Signatory**

| Authoriser     | Lance Vervoort, General Manager Community |
Dame Hilda Ross sculpture – *When Health Joins Hands with Happiness* – Tim Eliot
Corner of Ward Street and Worley Place
Commemorating Hamilton politician Dame Hilda Ross (1883-1959)

Tim Elliot's piano concept favoured
After widespread public consultation, Tim Elliot’s concept for a commemorative statue honouring popular Hamilton politician Dame Hilda Ross has come up tops.

In a separate yet concurrent nationally notified open competition hosted in Hamilton, Tim was voted “People’s Choice Winner” for his ‘abstract sunflower sculpture at last month’s 2017 Fieldays No. 8 Wire National Art Award.

The artist delights in the popular public response to his “bright and cheerful style”. Tim says his art aims “to provide content that appeals to everybody, rather than pandering to lofty academic ideals held by art critics. It is my belief that art ought to be read as no more than something inherently beautiful, accessible to all, understood and appreciated by everyday people”.

Tim’s Dame Hilda statue concept ‘Where health joins hands with happiness’ depicts her playing the piano for children at the Port Waikato Children’s health camp which she co-founded with life-long friend William Paul. More than that, it portrays an ebullient and passionate persona, a hands-on leader for her community, the statue to be sited in the central city precinct where she walked and talked for more than half a century.

TOTI called nationally for artist concepts for the commemorative statue. Tim’s concept was a favourite throughout the public consultation period because of its contemporary feel bridging the past to the present, its interactivity and appeal for adults and children alike. For generations to come this statue will continue to give back to the community just as Dame Hilda did.

The two other favoured concepts came from artists familiar to Hamilton - Matt Gauldie (Sapper Moore-Jones) and Brigitte Wuest (Riff Raff).

Design details
Tim has been designing and sculpting in 3D for over forty years, winning many accolades along the way. He is a leading, independent, technologically resourced 3D artist. At the forefront of computer aided design since its inception, Tim will use a variety of cutting edge technologies to model and manufacture components within the Dame Hilda Ross sculpture. Dame Hilda Ross herself will be generated in 3D from digitized 2D photographs. A 3D print will be created with detail applied in wax, and then cast in bronze.
Options arising from the consultation phase include the piano in granite or a bronze slab (refer Wagga Wagga sculpture), giving greater emphasis to the life-size human figures in bronze. All would be low maintenance and durable.

Discussions on appropriate landscaping and installation are ongoing with the artist, Starbucks and council staff, and with WEL Networks (to resite the electrics box).

Tim’s concept
Dame Hilda was a hands-on social activist, and dedicated her life to improving the lives of others. She was a notable high-ranking politician but always considered approachable. Tim’s concept cleverly portrays this important personable quality. Dame Hilda is shown as enthusiastic, cheerful and bright.

Dame Hilda’s significant political accomplishments and ‘hands-on’ attitude stemmed from her early days of social activism, particularly concerning the welfare of women and children. Among her various pursuits, she was a co-founder of health camps for children from impoverished backgrounds. Every summer for 25 years she was Camp Mother at Port Waikato and organised nightly concerts. In Tim’s concept, the camp motto “Where health joins hands with happiness” is emblazoned on the front panel of the piano. A plaque will give an outline of her extraordinary life - in TOTI’s narrative style.

Mana Whenua advisory group Te Haa o Te Whenua o Kirikiriroa supported the three finalists’ concepts. Art Advisor Hamish Keith settled on the Elliot, describing the concept as ‘quirky and funky’, and ‘a piece that has grown on me’. His response at the outset noted it might ‘energise the site rather than just slide into it…that said, the sliding in bit is part of its charm and needs to be a strong part of the developed concept…it could be a delightful and popular piece’. Two of the three Ross grandchildren preferred the Gauldie for its ‘leadership’ concept, but will support the public choice of the Elliot.

Update
TOTI have begun fundraising for the $250,000 project, and lodged the formal application to rename Ward Street East as Dame Hilda Ross Way, the choice unanimously supported by councillors.

Dame Hilda’s first political appointment was to the Waikato Hospital Board, and TOTI has asked the current Waikato District Health Board to name their new central city base in the former Farmers department store in her honour - in response to the loss of the Dame Hilda Ross Nurses Home in the recent hospital campus redevelopment, and noting the synergy with Dame Hilda’s own life and links with health and business. Her economic foundation was the Barton & Ross department store (founded by her husband in the heritage building in Victoria Street south)

Event plans
The public unveiling of the proposed statue is planned for Saturday 26 May 2018, the 73rd anniversary of Dame Hilda’s victory in the 1945 Hamilton by-election which began her Parliamentary career. She was Hamilton’s Deputy Mayor at the time. In 1949 she became Minister for the Welfare of Women and Children for the first National government, claiming additional departmental responsibilities including child welfare, pre-schools, juvenile courts and women’s borstals, and the health camps. In 1957 she became Minister of Social Security.

Contacts:
Mavora Hamilton, TOTI mavora.hamilton@perry.co.nz 021 794 688
Margaret Evans, TOTI Margaret.evans.nz@gmail.com 07 8584467 or 021 2044674
Kate McArthur, TOTI katemcarthur12@gmail.com 021 02418030

www.toti.co.nz    www.facebook.com/TOTITrust
TOTI promotes Waikato heritage and culture and has previously honoured Gallipoli artist Sapper Horace Moore-Jones with a street name change, a statue, and a book. The Trust is currently working with Waikato Equestrians on the War Horse project – to acknowledge New Zealand’s 10,000 horses sent overseas during WW1. Matt Gauldie’s commemorative statue is due for unveiling in Hamilton’s Memorial Park, Armistice Day, 11 November, 2017 after the Civic Service. This will be followed by ‘The Mane Event’, a one-off, family friendly, free show at Claudelands Showgrounds.
Artwork location within section of Greenhill Park development
Artwork location within section of Greenhill Park development
Proposed Public Art Development Process – Permanent Artworks

Stage One
Staff work with project group to explore sites. The curatorial approach is detailed in an artist brief.

→
The proposed site and artist brief are reviewed by staff. Recommendations and rationale are reported to the Community and Services Committee.

→
Community and Services Committee decision to approve the site and artist brief.

Note: if required, the C&S Committee may establish a Public Art Taskforce Group to review the project at Stage Two of the Public Art Development Process before it is considered for approval.

Stage Two
Artist(s) produce concepts for project group within the scope of the approved artist brief.

→
The preferred concept is reviewed by staff (and a Public Art Taskforce Group if established). Recommendations and rationale are reported to the Community and Services Committee.

→
Community and Services Committee decision to approve project in principle. Considerations are restricted to health and safety, maintenance requirements and costs and, site suitability (i.e.; appropriate for public view in that site).

Note: C&S Committee can choose to refer the project back for further review if the above issues are not addressed.

Stage Three
Artist and project group prepare developed design of the artwork.

→
Developed design is reviewed by staff, to ensure alignment with the concept approved at Stage Two.

→
General Manager Community decision to approve the developed design, to be reported for information to the Community and Services Committee.

Note: The GM Community can refer any unresolved matters back to the Public Art Taskforce Group or the C&S Committee for further consideration.
1. **Purpose of the Report**

2. To seek approval to grant new community occupancy agreements to Hillcrest Bowling Club Incorporated and Scout Association of New Zealand.

3. **Executive Summary**

4. Hillcrest Bowling Club (Hillcrest) and the Scout Association of New Zealand (Scouts) existing leases have expired and they have applied for new community occupancy agreements.

5. Staff recommend granting leases to Hillcrest and the Scouts for five years and 15 years respectively. Granting these new leases is in accordance with the Community Occupancy Policy.

6. A shorter term is recommended for Hillcrest given the uncertainty around the club’s sustainability in the long term. The Club has accepted a five year lease.

7. The Hillcrest site is required for the future expansion of a water reservoir. Staff recommend inclusion of special conditions in the Hillcrest lease in relation to the water reservoir.

8. In 2006, anticipating only part of the leased site would be required for the reservoir, Council resolved to provide $390,000 from the sale of the land towards relocating Hillcrest to the Eastlink multi-sport centre at Lugton Park. A change in circumstances means there will be no sale of the land, therefore the resolution is no longer relevant.

9. For this reason, staff recommend revoking the Extraordinary Council resolution dated 13 June 2006.
Recommendations from Management

That the Community and Services Committee:

a) receives the report;
b) revokes the Extraordinary Council resolution dated 13 June 2006;
c) Council provide $390,000 funding towards construction of bowling facilities at Lugton Park subject to:
   i) Council receiving this amount of revenue from the sale of the existing Hillcrest Bowling site.
c) approves a new community group lease under s54(1)(b) of the Reserves Act 1977, to Hillcrest Bowling Club Incorporated for 5,980m² of land at 111 Cambridge Road, as shown in Attachment 1, being Lot 2 DP 36078, Lots 4 and 5 DP36078, Lot 11 DP 28475 and part of Lot 1 DPS 76755, subject to the following terms and conditions:
   (i) term – 5 years
   (ii) rent – $1,197.50 plus GST per annum in accordance with the Community Occupancy Policy;
   (iii) lessee acknowledges, and accepts that the Lessor may at any time, without liability for compensation, require the land for water reservoir purposes prior to the expiry of the lease.
   (iv) lessee to provide Lessor access to the site prior to the lease expiry for non-intrusive works to assess the feasibility of the site for an expansion of the water reservoir.
   (v) all other terms and conditions in accordance with the Community Occupancy Policy and Community Occupancy Guidelines.
d) approves a new community group lease to Scout Association of New Zealand for 704m² of land at 77 Norton Road, as shown in Attachment 2, being Part Lot 101 Deeds 100, subject to the following terms and conditions:
   (i) term – 15 years
   (ii) rent – $427.00 plus GST per annum in accordance with the Community Occupancy Policy;
   (iii) all other terms and conditions in accordance with the Community Occupancy Policy and Community Occupancy Guidelines.

10. Attachments

11. Attachment 1 - Hillcrest Bowling Club - leased area map
12. Attachment 2 - Scout Association of New Zealand - leased area map

14. Community Occupancy Applications

15. Hillcrest Bowling Club Incorporated (Hillcrest)
16. Hillcrest Bowling Club (Hillcrest) was established in 1949 and lease land at 111 Cambridge Road for outdoor bowls and associated activities.
17. The lease commenced on 1 April 2007 for a term of 10 years and expired on 31 March 2017. Since expiry, their lease has been continuing on a monthly basis.
18. The club’s purpose is to encourage participation and promote bowls which provides health, recreation and other community benefits.

19. Hillcrest report having 61 members and 120 casual participants. Their membership is in decline; this is a trend reflective of the sport of bowls nationally.

20. Hillcrest is one of four bowling clubs located on Council land. Although membership is in decline, Hillcrest is considered sustainable in the short term. They are proactive in their approach to optimising use of the facility and offer business house bowls, tournaments, coaching clinics to high school and all ability groups and regularly hire the facility to the Waikato Central Karate Club.

21. Given the significant challenges the sport of bowls is facing in terms of retaining membership and financial sustainability, and the number of clubs on Council land, staff held a Bowls/Croquet workshop to discuss future sustainability and shared use models. Staff facilitated the meeting in conjunction with Sport Waikato, Bowls Waikato and Bowls New Zealand. All clubs on Council land attended to consider sustainability of the clubs in Hamilton going forward. Hillcrest were provided with the option of relocating to Stadium Bowling Club, but decided their preference is to remain at their current site. Stadium Bowling Club has subsequently dissolved and an expression of interest for the facility is being undertaken.

22. Hillcrest applied for a 10 year lease. However, staff recommend granting a new lease for 5 years given the uncertainty around the clubs sustainability in the long term. Hillcrest has accepted the shorter five year lease term.

23. The proposed lease area is shown in Attachment 1 comprising the footprint of the club owned building, three bowling greens and carpark.

24. The lease is on land classified for the purpose of recreation reserve under the Reserves Act 1977. The lease is contemplated by the Operative Sports Park Management Plan 2009. On this basis, public notification of the proposed lease is not required.

25. The proposed rent is $1,197.50 plus GST per annum based on a land area of 5,980m², calculated in accordance with the Community Occupancy Guidelines (Guidelines).

26. **Future Water Reservoir and Relocation to Lugton Park (Eastlink)**

27. An existing water reservoir adjoins the Hillcrest Bowling Club lease area. In the long-term the bowling club site is required for a new water reservoir.

28. At its Extraordinary Council meeting on 13 June 2006, the Council resolved the following in relation to Hillcrest:
   
   c) Council provide $390,000 funding towards construction of bowling facilities at Lugton Park subject to:
   
   i. Council receiving this amount of revenue from the sale of the existing Hillcrest Bowling site

   (Refer to Attachment 3 for full Council Resolution).

29. This resolution was to support Hillcrest to relocate to Eastlink multi-sports centre at Lugton Park, given at that time it was anticipated that Hillcrest would need to move from the site due to Council requiring part of the leased land for a future water reservoir. It was proposed that part of the land not required for the water reservoir would be sold, with proceeds going towards the construction of new bowling facilities at Lugton Park.

30. Circumstances have now changed requiring the 2006 resolution to be revoked:
   
   • The Council’s recently developed Water Master Plan confirms the site will be required for a water reservoir. It is anticipated the site will be required beyond 2030.
   
   • When the site is required, due to population growth; a larger reservoir will be required requiring the whole bowling club lease area. Therefore, Council has no intention of selling any part of the site and therefore the anticipated $390,000 from sale of land is no longer applicable.

31. Hillcrest and Eastlink have been advised of the above change in circumstances for a future water reservoir at the Hillcrest site and accept that funding is no longer relevant. Hillcrest is happy to continue operating from their current location.
32. To clarify expectations, staff recommend revoking the Council resolution dated 13 June 2006.
33. Staff recommend inclusion of the following special conditions in the new lease in relation to the water reservoir;
   - Lessee acknowledges, and accepts that the Lessor may at any time, without liability for compensation, require the land for water reservoir purposes prior to the expiry of the lease.
   - Lessee to provide Lessor access to the site prior to the lease expiry for non-intrusive works to assess the feasibility of the site for an expansion of the water reservoir.
34. **Scout Association of New Zealand – Norton Road (Scouts)**
35. The Scout Association of New Zealand (Scouts) lease land at 77 Norton Road, Frankton for girl guide and scouting related activities.
36. The lease commenced on 1 March 1996 for a term of 20 years and expired on 28 February 2016. The lease was originally with the Girl Guides Association of New Zealand and assigned to Scouts in 2008. Since expiry, the lease has been continuing on a monthly basis.
37. Scouts are a large national organisation. Their primary purpose is to encourage the physical, mental, emotional, social and spiritual development of young people so that they may take a constructive place in society.
38. They hold three other community leases with the Council at; St Peters Park, Innes Common and Hillcrest Park. The Norton Road site is well utilised as a base by sixteen scout groups from the Waikato region with a total membership of 593. It is used for training, courses, meetings and office space for the Scouts Central North Island Regional administration.
39. The proposed leased area is shown in Attachment 2, encompassing the Scouts’ owned building, which is the same area as their previous lease.
40. The proposed rent is $427.00 plus GST per annum based on a land area of 704m², calculated in accordance with the Guidelines.
41. Staff recommend granting a new community group lease to Scouts for a term of 15 years, being the maximum tenure in accordance the Community Occupancy Policy (Policy).
42. **Strategic alignment**
43. Hillcrest and Scouts are eligible for community occupancy and have been assessed in accordance with the Policy and Guidelines.
44. Granting these leases is in accordance with the Policy.
45. **Financial and Resourcing Implications**
46. The rent payable by the community groups is in accordance with the Policy.
47. The Policy provides for groups to apply for exceptional circumstances. Neither groups covered in this report have sought exceptional circumstances.
48. Subject to the agreements outlined in this report being approved, the annual revenue from community occupancy is estimated at $126,770 per annum, excluding GST.
49. **Risk**
50. There is minimal risk to the Council in granting new community occupancy agreements to Hillcrest and Scouts.
51. A shorter lease term is recommended for Hillcrest to minimise risk associated with long term sustainability of Hillcrest and the sport of bowls generally.
52. Should the Council decide to not grant new agreements to Hillcrest and Scouts, there is a reputational risk to the Council and risk to the groups in terms of security of tenancy.

**Signatory**

| Authoriser          | Lance Vervoort, General Manager Community |
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ITEMS AA1 and AA2 — Schedule 1

Motion: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Saunders)

That:

a) Council contribute $320,000 in 2006/07 towards construction of the proposed indoor tennis facility at Lugton Park by way of loan,

b) the $200,000 funding previously made by Council towards construction of a new inline hockey stadium at Lugton Park (noting that the existing funding arrangement expires in June 2006) be extended to June 2008 (which will enable sufficient time for the balance of the required funds of $1.8 million to be raised from other sources),

c) Council provide $390,000 funding towards construction of bowling facilities at Lugton Park subject to:

i. Council receiving this amount of revenue from the sale of the existing Hillcrest Bowling Club site,

ii. Eastlink having obtained the full-funding required for their new clubrooms,

iii. Support for the proposal from Bowls Walkato, and

d) Council make no further financial contributions to the Eastlink Project.

The Motion was declared carried on the voices.

The meeting adjourned 12.25pm-1.30pm for lunch.

D. COUNCIL’S PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT & FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS POLICY

Motion: (Crs Thomas/Saunders)

That:

a) the changes recommended in the City Planning Manager’s report on submissions to Council’s Proposed 2006-16 Long-Term Plan: Proposed Development and Financial Contributions Policy (orange pages) be adopted (as shown after paragraphs 2.6, 2.22, 2.26, 2.32, 2.37 in the report before the Committee),

b) the revised consolidated Development and Financial Contributions Policy incorporating the proposed amendments shown in Appendices B and C of the City Planning Manager’s report before the Committee (orange pages) be adopted and made operative on 1 July 2006, and
1. **Purpose of the Report**

   2. To inform elected members on the feedback received from public consultation for the draft Hamilton Zoo Master Plan, and to seek approval of the final Hamilton Zoo Master Plan.

3. **Executive Summary**

   4. Public consultation on the draft Hamilton Zoo Master Plan took place between 11 April and 19 May 2017. A total of 194 submissions were received.

   5. 83% of submitters stated that the key projects in the Master Plan will deliver on the Zoo’s desired outcomes of fun, conservation, education and sustainability.

   6. Submitters provided a range of views on how the Zoo Master Plan should be funded. 47% supported a combination of admission fees, general rates, a targeted rate and community partnership and sponsorship and 10% stated it should not be funded.

   7. 71% of submitters supported the planned work on the Zoo entrance to improve the connection with Waiwhakareke Natural Heritage Park.

   8. Staff recommend that the Hamilton Zoo Master Plan is approved to set a clear vision for the future direction and development of Hamilton Zoo, providing context for Zoo projects to be considered in the Council’s 2018-28 10 Year Plan and subsequent 10 Year Plans.

**Recommendations from Management**

That the Community and Services Committee:

a) receives the report;

b) approves the Hamilton Zoo Master Plan; and

c) notes that any funding commitments to implement the Hamilton Zoo Master Plan will be considered through the 2018-28 10 Year Plan process.
9. Attachments
10. Attachment 1 - Zoo Master Plan - submission analysis

11. Background

12. At the 4 April 2017 Community and Services Committee meeting (Agenda Pg. 51 / Minutes Pg. 6), the Committee approved the draft Hamilton Zoo Master Plan for public consultation.


14. The consultation questions were available through the ‘Have Your Say’ portal on the Council website, the Hamilton Zoo website and through paper copies that were available at the Hamilton Zoo reception.

15. The consultation was promoted through the Council’s social media channels, City News and a press release, which led to coverage by local news media. There was also some direct correspondence with stakeholders, including other New Zealand zoos, Waiwhakareke Advisory Group, Friends of Hamilton Zoo and Zoo contractors and volunteers, notifying them about the opportunity to submit.

16. Summary of Responses

17. 194 submissions were received. This compares to 72 submissions on the draft Libraries Strategic Plan and 30 submissions on the draft Waikato Museum Strategic Plan.

18. 70% (135) of submitters live in Hamilton City, 17% (33) in Waikato District, 6.5% (13) in Waipa District and 6.5% (13) in other districts.

19. 67% (130) of submitters have visited the Zoo in the last year, 13% (26) in the last one to two years and 9% (17) in the last two to five years. 2% (4) of submitters have never visited Hamilton Zoo and 2% (4) did not answer the question.

20. 12% (24) of submitters are aged 16-24, 28% (54) are aged 25-35, 24% (46) are aged 36-50, 15% (30) are aged 51-64, and 16% (31) are aged 65+. 5% (9) of submitters did not answer the question.

21. For household situation, 45% (87) of submitters stated that they are a family or couple with dependents (children or other family), 34% (66) stated that they were a family or couple with no dependents, 10% (20) stated that they are living alone and 7% (13) stated that they are living with others that are not family. 4% (8) of submitters did not answer the question.

22. A detailed profile of respondents and their feedback is included in Attachment 1.

23. Submitters were asked the following questions:
   - Will the key projects deliver the desired Zoo Master Plan outcomes of fun, conservation, education and sustainability?
   - How do you think the Zoo Master Plan should be funded?
   - Should the planned work on the Zoo entrance be expanded to include improving the connection to Waiwhakareke Natural Heritage Park?
   - Any other comments?

24. Will the key projects deliver on the outcomes?

25. 83% (161) of submitters stated that the key projects in the Hamilton Zoo Master Plan will deliver on the Zoo’s desired outcomes of fun, conservation, education and sustainability. 15%
(30) of submitters disagreed that the key projects will deliver on the desired outcomes, or were against the desired outcomes listed in the Plan. 2% (3) did not answer the question.

26. Key themes in the comments section were that the projects will improve the zoo experience (15 mentions), support for the expansion of café and entrance (14 mentions) and support for the Waterhole camp (11 mentions). Key themes in submissions disagreeing with the key projects were that the projects did not sufficiently focus on sustainability, conservation and education (4 mentions), the Council should support animals to live in their natural habitat (3 mentions) and animals should not be kept in captivity (2 mentions).

27. Some submissions included comments on the concept design and these will be useful references when the detailed design is carried out for any funded Master Plan projects.

28. Key stakeholder feedback included:
   - “The investment will allow Hamilton Zoo to improve its overall experience for the community and to better tell stories about conservation and the protection of animals and our planet,” Karen Fifield MNZM, CEO Wellington Zoo.

29. How do you think the Zoo Master Plan should be funded?

30. Submitters responded to a multiple choice question indicating which funding methods they supported. Each funding method had differing levels of support:
   - 71% (137) of submitters supported funding through admission fees
   - 61% (118) of submitters supported funding through general rates
   - 56% (109) of submitters supported funding through a targeted rate
   - 77% (150) of submitters supported funding through community partnership and sponsorship

31. 10% (19) of submitters stated that the Zoo Master Plan should not be funded and 4% (7) did not state a preference.

32. 47% (92) of submitters supported a combination of admission fees, general rates, a targeted rate and community partnership and sponsorship to fund projects in the Zoo Master Plan. Details of the funding preferences from submitters are included in Attachment 1.

33. Key themes in the comments section were that the Council should work in partnership (21 mentions), that ratepayers should not fund development projects (10 mentions) and that admission fees should not be raised (6 mentions).

34. Connection with Waiwhakareke Natural Heritage Park

35. 71% (138) of submitters supported the planned work on the Zoo entrance to improve the connection with Waiwhakareke Natural Heritage Park. 26% (50) of submitters stated that they did not support the planned work, and 3% (6) did not answer.

36. Key stakeholder feedback included:
   - “Most importantly, the Environmental Research Institute supports development of the Hamilton Zoo’s connection with the adjoining Waiwhakareke Natural Heritage Park and encourages the Council to continue co-development of these complementary areas”, Professor Bruce Clarkson, Environmental Research Institute, University of Waikato.
37. Options

38. **Option 1 – Do not adopt the Hamilton Zoo Master Plan**

39. Potential benefits from this option:
   - There is no ratepayer expectation that the Master Plan will be funded and implemented.

40. Potential negative aspects from this option:
   - There is no clear and practical vision set for the future direction and development of Hamilton Zoo.
   - There is no context for Zoo projects to be considered in Council’s 2018-28 10 Year Plan and subsequent 10 Year Plans.
   - There is no context for applications for external funding or for attracting funding partnership.
   - Limits potential for patronage and revenue growth and will potentially lead to patronage and revenue decline.

41. **Option 2 – Approve the Hamilton Zoo Master Plan**

42. Potential benefits from this option:
   - Sets a clear and practical vision for the future direction and development of Hamilton Zoo.
   - Provides context for Zoo projects to be considered in the Council’s 2018-28 10 Year Plan and subsequent 10 Year Plans.
   - Enables Hamilton Zoo to grow patronage and increase revenue from other sources to reduce ratepayer subsidy in the future.
   - Establishes the baseline concept design from which detailed design can be developed.
   - Does not commit the Council to funding but enables funding plans to be developed and external funding options to be explored.

43. Potential negative aspects from this option:
   - Creates ratepayer expectation that the Master Plan will be funded and implemented.

44. Staff recommend Option 2 as it sets the context for future direction and planning, is well supported by the feedback through the public submission process and is vital to the success of the Zoo.

45. Financial and Resourcing Implications

46. Approval of the Hamilton Zoo Master Plan will not bind the Council to ongoing funding to deliver the Plan, but does provide direction for development priorities to be considered in the 2018-28 10 Year Plan and subsequent 10 Year Plans.

47. A funding plan will be developed for any Master Plan projects included for consideration in the 2018-28 10 Year Plan.
48. Risk

49. Detailed revenue and expenditure analysis is still to be undertaken and will be submitted with any proposals for consideration by the Council during the 2018-28 10 Year Plan process.

Signatory

| Authoriser         | Lance Vervoort, General Manager Community |
Question 1: Will the key projects deliver the desired Zoo Master Plan outcomes of fun, conservation, education and sustainability?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key themes from comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>No. of mentions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It will improve the zoo experience</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support expansion of café and entrance</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Waterhole camp</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support lemur walkthrough</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs stronger focus on conservation</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Savannah expansion</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invest in Zoo</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It will improve tourism</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects will not support sustainability, conservation and education</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs stronger focus on sustainability</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council should support animals to live in their natural habitat</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs stronger focus on native animals</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals should not be kept in captivity</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong educational message</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not invest in entrance and retail</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs to address disabled accessibility</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs to address financial accessibility for activities and events</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoo should be moved to a new site</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Support for individual funding options**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Option</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admission fees</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General rates</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted rate</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community partnership/sponsorship</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It should not be funded</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommended funding model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Model</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admission fees only</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission fees and community partnership/sponsorship</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General rates only</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General rates and community partnership/sponsorship</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General rates, admission fees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted rates only</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted rates and admission fees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted rates and community partnership/sponsorship</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted rates, general rates and community partnership/sponsorship</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted rates, admission fees and community partnership/sponsorship</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community partnership / sponsorship only</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A combination of all the above (admission fees, general rates, targeted rates, community partnership /sponsorship)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It should not be funded</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key themes from comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>No. of mentions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partnerships</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratepayers should not fund development projects</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't increase admission fees</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users should pay</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council should partially invest</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes are worth the investment</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower entry fees</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoo is already overpriced</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submitters responded to a multiple choice question, allowing them to express a preference for or against each funding option as well as recommending a funding model to fund projects in the Zoo Master Plan.
Question 3: Expand the planned work on the Zoo entrance to include improving the connection to the Waiwhakareke Natural Heritage Park?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>194</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expand the planned work on Zoo entrance to include improved connection to Waiwhakareke?

- Yes: 71%
- No: 26%
- Did not answer: 3%
### Hamilton Zoo Master Plan submissions - profile of respondents

#### Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-35</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-64</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**: 194 (100%)

#### Household Situation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Situation</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Living alone</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family or couple with dependents (children or other family)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family or couple with no dependents</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with others that are not family</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**: 194 (100%)

#### Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton City</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waikato District</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waipa District</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other city / district</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**: 194 (100%)

#### When did you last visit the Zoo?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When did you last visit the Zoo?</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the last year</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the last two years</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the last two to five years</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than five years ago</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never visited</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not answered</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**: 194 (100%)
1. **Purpose of the Report**

2. To seek approval for the River Plan work programme to be completed in the 2017/18 financial year.

3. To approve the extension of the River Plan Taskforce Terms of Reference until 30 June 2018:
   - To prioritise and develop a programme of works for River Plan projects for the Community and Services Committee for consideration in the 10-Year Plan; and
   - To provide support staff to engage with external funding partners.

4. To inform the Committee regarding the Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One projects.

5. **Executive Summary**

6. Capital funding of $1.25M and operational funding of $250,000 is included in the draft 2017-18 Annual Plan to implement River Plan projects. The River Plan Taskforce has agreed a programme of works to be completed, which includes connecting the Victoria on the River and Embassy Park sites, starting work on Phase One of the Ferrybank Development Plan and providing wayfinding signage on the riverside path in key locations.

7. The River Plan Taskforce has identified the need to continue work on prioritising and investigating River Plan projects to be considered in the 10-Year Plan. Currently the Taskforce Terms of Reference expires on 31 December 2017, and this needs to be extended to 30 June 2018. This will enable staff to focus on planning (as part of the 10-Year Plan) and engaging with external funding partners.
Recommendations from Management
That the Community and Services Committee:

a) receives the Report;

b) approves the 2017/18 River Plan Work Programme subject to the budget being confirmed in the 2017/18 Annual Plan comprising the following projects:
   I. connection – Victoria on the River to Embassy Site
   II. Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One/Stage One
   III. riverside path wayfinding signage

c) approves an extension of the River Plan Taskforce Terms of Reference until 30 June 2018.

d) approves the River Plan Taskforce to submit a proposed programme of River Plan project works to Council for consideration in the 10-Year Plan.

e) notes that the River Plan Taskforce will support staff to begin planning and engage with potential external partners for the Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One projects, comprising the following projects:
   I. connection/Upper Promenade – Museum to Grantham Street
   II. riverside Retaining
   III. riverside Promenade
   IV. pontoon at existing Ferrybank jetty
   V. connection/Upper Promenade – Museum to Embassy
   VI. connection – Sapper Moore Jones to Upper Promenade

8. Attachments
9. There are no attachments for this report.

10. Key Issues
11. Background
12. In May 2017 the Community and Services Committee received a report on the River Plan, including links to previous reports, and resolved:

   Approves the establishment of a River Plan Task Force comprising the Mayor, Deputy Mayor, Councillors Taylor, Southgate, O’Leary, GM Community and the Special Projects Manager, finishing on 31 December 2017 with the purpose to:

   o recommend the 2017/18 Annual Plan work programme to the 27 June 2017 Community and Services Committee subject to the budget being confirmed in the 2017/18 Annual Plan; and
   o undertake engagement with the Donny Trust and other external funders on river plan projects.
13. **2017/18 Annual Plan Work Programme**

14. The River Plan Taskforce has met three times following their establishment at the May 2017 Community and Services Committee meeting. The taskforce has considered project options for 2017/18 and beyond. Following these discussions the Taskforce is recommending the following projects for the 2017/18 Annual Plan.

15. **Capital Project Details**

16. A budget of $1.25M is available in 2017/18 for Capital projects.

17. **Connection/Upper Promenade – Victoria on the River (VOTR) to Embassy**

18. The following elements are included in this project:

- Accessible boardwalk
- Lighting
- Landscaping and planting
- Design, engineering and geotechnical investigations

Any remaining budget from the VOTR – Embassy Connection will be added to the Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One/Stage One projects.

19. **Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One/Stage One**

20. The following projects are included in this stage:

- Connection/Upper Promenade – Museum to Grantham Street
  - Accessible route
  - Improved link with Gothenburg precinct
- Temporary works to enable use of the existing Ferrybank jetty
- Detailed design, engineering and geotechnical investigations for Phase One projects.

21. More detailed information on the Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One is outlined later in this report.

22. **Riverside Wayfinding signage**

23. The following areas will be the focus of the 2017/18 signage programme:

- Welcome plinths at key entry points:
  - Hamilton Gardens/Hayes Paddock
  - Ferrybank
  - Northern City Boundary (Te Awa)
  - Braithwaite Park
- Location markers at key locations:
  - London Street to Anzac Parade (Western side)
  - Parana Park to Hamilton Gardens (Eastern side)

24. It is noted that the final amount and position of riverside wayfinding signage required is currently being developed. It is recommended that the final number and location of signs to be installed in 2017/18 will be agreed with the River Plan Taskforce.
25. The proposed capital budget is $1.25M and is proposed to be split between the projects as per the table below. It is anticipated that any remaining contingency from the Connection/Upper Promenade – VOTR to Embassy be transferred to the Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One/Stage One or Riverside Wayfinding Signage projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Projects</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connection/Upper Promenade – VOTR to Embassy</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One/Stage One</td>
<td>$475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside Wayfinding Signage</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,250,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26. Operational Project Details
27. A budget of $250,000 is available in 2017/18 for operational projects.

28. Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One Investigations
29. Works to include, but not limited to:
   - Developed Design of River Plan Furniture Design Guidelines
   - Investigations and feasibility of Pontoon/Riverside Retaining

30. Vegetation Management Plan
31. Works to include, but not limited to:
   - Survey and data collection for Areas 5 – 6
   - Programme development Areas 2-3
   - Physical works in Areas 1 – 4
32. The proposed operational budget is $250,000 and is proposed to be split between the projects as per the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Projects</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One Investigations</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation Management Plan</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$250,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. River Plan Taskforce
34. The River Plan Taskforce currently is approved to continue until 31 December 2017.
35. It is proposed that the Taskforce Terms of Reference be extended to 30 June 2018. This would enable the Taskforce to continue working on prioritisation of projects for the 2018-28 10-Year Plan and to continue to support staff in planning and engagement with external funding partners.
36. Ferrybank Development Plan – Phase One

37. The Ferrybank Development Plan identifies a large number of projects to be completed over a number of years.

38. To enable staff to begin robust planning and engagement with external funding partners, it is recommended a phased approach to implementation be taken. Phase One is made up of projects that are proposed to be implemented within the next five years. Phase One projects are outlined below.

39. Phase One Projects

- Connection/Upper Promenade – Museum to Grantham Street
  - Accessible route
  - Improved link with Gothenburg precinct

- Connection – Museum to River
  - Accessible route, connecting the upper promenade/museum to the pontoon - this could be a lift, or a series of ramps similar to VOTR.
  - A performance platform
  - Landscaping and planting

- Riverside Retaining
  - Ecological terracing to raise the height of the riverside promenade
  - Provide connection to Pontoon

- Riverside Promenade

- Pontoon
  - including temp works to enable river access immediately

- Connection/Upper Promenade – Museum to Embassy
  - Accessible route

- Connection – Sapper Moore Jones to Upper Promenade

40. Images are currently being prepared to outline the scope of the Phase One projects. These were not available at the time this report was written, but will be presented during the 27 June 2017 Community and Services Committee meeting.

41. Staging

42. The table below outlines the proposed staging of the projects. Staging will be finalised by the River Plan Taskforce and recommended to the 19 September 2017 Community and Services Committee for consideration, prior to a proposal being put forward to the 2018-28 10-Year Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage One (2017/18)*</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning – Detailed design, engineering and geotechnical investigations</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary work to enable jetty to be used</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection/Upper Promenade – Museum to Grantham Street</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$475,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stage Two*
### Connection – Museum to River

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Stage Three</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Riverside Retaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside Promenade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontoon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Stage Four</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connection/Upper Promenade – Museum to Embassy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection – Sapper Moore Jones to Upper Promenade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All costs and staging are indicative and require further investigations.*

43. Staff will prepare a funding strategy to be presented back to the River Plan Taskforce prior to any projects being put forward for consideration in the 10-Year Plan.

### 44. Risk

45. If Council does not provide clear direction for the draft 2018-28 10-Year Plan there is a risk to securing the Momentum Funding. While the risk cannot be fully mitigated until the 2018-28 10-Year Plan is confirmed, the proposed operational and capital expenditure on the Ferrybank development in 2017/18, is regarded as important enabling works for further investment by external funding partners.

46. There is significant public awareness and support for the River Plan. Given this, there is constant community expectation around the delivery of a programme to develop the River Plan.

### Signatory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authoriser</th>
<th>Blair Bowcott, Executive Director Special Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Community and Services Committee Agenda 27 June 2017 - OPEN*