



# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Hamilton City Council

Return your signed further submission to Hamilton City Council by **4:30pm on 2 March 2016**.

Further submissions may be:


- posted to Hamilton City Council, Private Bag 3010, Hamilton 3240
- delivered direct to Hamilton City Council offices at Garden Place, Hamilton
- or emailed to [districtplan@hcc.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@hcc.govt.nz)

**Note:** online further submissions can also be made at [www.hamilton.govt.nz/ruakura](http://www.hamilton.govt.nz/ruakura)

1. Submitter Details (all fields required)	
Full name:	The University of Waikato
Contact name if different from above:	John Cameron
Organisation or Company (if relevant):	
Postal address for service of the submitter:	Private Bag 3105, HAMILTON Post code: 3105
Phone number(s):	07 838 4188
Email:	rgty1102@waikato.ac.nz
Preferred method of contact:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email <input type="checkbox"/> Post

2. Further Submitter Relevance
I am: (select one)
<input type="checkbox"/> A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has; or <input type="checkbox"/> The local authority for the relevant area.

3. Public Hearing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I <b>do</b> <del>OR</del> <input type="checkbox"/> I <b>do not</b> wish to attend and speak at the Council hearing in support of my further submission
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

4. Signature of Further Submitter (note a signature is not required if sending your submission by electronic means, but please type your name below)
Signature of further submitter:  Date: <b>2 March 2016</b> (or person authorised to sign on behalf of further submitter) <b>John Cameron, Director of Facilities Management</b>

Note: Please turn over to make further submission



PROPOSED  
**DISTRICT PLAN**

Hamilton City Council

The specific part of the original submission to which my further submission relates is: <i>(list one provision per box – e.g. 60.04)</i>	State whether you support or oppose this specific part of the original submission	State the reasons for your support or opposition	What decision do you seek from Council on this submission (or part of a submission) I seek that the whole (or part [describe below]) of the submission be either: <b>Allowed / Disallowed</b>
<p><b>Plan provision 3.7(f)</b></p> <p>Chedworth Properties Limited 33.12 Tainui Group Holdings Limited 48.12</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p>	<p>The submission points seeks to amend the reference to the Open Space areas by suggesting that they are only <i>indicative</i> areas.</p> <p>The provision of the Open Space areas were subject to substantive submissions to the BOI hearing and form a critical part of the mitigation measures in terms of the interface of the Ruakura development with existing neighbourhoods and facilities.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission points and retain existing wording.</p>
<p><b>Plan Provision 3.7.1.8</b></p> <p>Chedworth Properties Limited 33.15 Tainui Group Holdings Limited 48.15</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p>	<p>The University considers that the full extent of Open Space and landscape mitigation put forward through the BOI process should form part of the final land development plan and that the framework for this should be explicitly set out in the District Plan.</p> <p>If the District Plan provisions only refer to an <i>indicative</i> area, then the University does not have confidence that the full extent of Open Space will be delivered and that the issue of amenity effects and interface issues will need to be revisited.</p> <p>The plan provisions also acknowledge that the exact details and layout of the Open Space areas will be defined at the Land Development Plan stage (Refer Rule 1.2.2.25(b)). This provides sufficient scope to allow minor changes to the layout or area which do not have a material affect on the purpose or function of the Open</p>	<p>Disallow the submission points and retain existing wording.</p>

		Space areas.	
<p><b>Plan Provision</b> <b>Objective 3.7.2.1</b></p> <p>Chedworth Properties Limited 33.19</p> <p>Tainui Group Holdings Limited 48.19</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p>	<p>The submission points seek modification of the objective to reduce the level of environmental protection offered to surrounding communities and facilities. The amendment seeks to overturn the existing premise of the objective which gives weight to the protection of amenity values and replace this with an enabling provision for activities to be established which do not breach a 'significant' adverse effect threshold.</p> <p>The submission points are not consistent with the BOI decision.</p> <p>The existing wording is appropriate and is balanced given that the objective acknowledges that an urbanisation process will occur. The other enabling objectives and policies in the plan variation also provide a very strong and robust platform to support development at Ruakura.</p> <p>The proposed amendments are neither necessary nor appropriate to achieving the purpose of the RMA.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission points and retain existing wording.</p>
<p><b>Plan Provision</b> <b>Clause 1.2.2.25(g)</b></p> <p>Chedworth Properties Limited 33.37</p> <p>Tainui Group Holdings Limited</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p>	<p>The submission points seek remove a requirement for the Land Development Plan to provide Open Space areas consistent with the Ruakura Open Space Zone and Ruakura Structure Plan.</p> <p>The University considers that the full extent of Open Space and landscape mitigation put forward through the BOI process should form part of the final land development plan and that the framework for this</p>	<p>Disallow the submission points and retain existing wording.</p>



# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Hamilton City Council

48.63		should be explicitly set out in the District Plan.	
-------	--	--	--

**Note:**

- *A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. This is your responsibility.*
- *Please ensure that you fill in all columns of the table for each submission(s) or submission point(s) you are further submitting on. Use additional sheets of this page if required.*
- *Acknowledgement of further submissions will take place after the further submission period closes in due course.*